		Unite	ED STAT	ES DISTR	RICT COURT
		WESTERN	D	istrict of	NORTH CAROLINA
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Λ		
		<b>V</b> .		ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		CHARLES EDWARD DEAN,	IR	Case	1:09 cr 26-2
		Defendant	<u> </u>	Cusc	1.07 61 20 2
		ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U. n of the defendant pending trial in this case		detention hearing	has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
			Part I-	—Findings of Fa	ct
	(1)	or local offense that would have been a fe  a crime of violence as defined in 18 U	ederal offense if a U.S.C. § 3156(a)(	a circumstance givi (4).	and has been convicted of a  federal offense  state ng rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
		an offense for which the maximum seems an offense for which a maximum term			
		an offense for which a maximum term	ii or imprisoinnei	int of ten years of in	*
		a felony that was committed after the	defendant had b	een convicted of tw	yo or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
		§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s			
	(2)				on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.  ction ☐ release of the defendant from imprisonment
	(4)				ition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the ideant has not rebutted this presumption.
			Altern	native Findings (A)	)
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	e defendant has c	ommitted an offens	se
	(-)	X for which a maximum term of imprise under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
X	(2)				o condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
				native Findings (B)	
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant	will not appear.		
X	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant	will endanger the	safety of another p	person or the community.
		SEE ATTAC	HED ADDENDU	JM TO DETENTION	ON ORDER
				tement of Reaso	
		d that the credible testimony and informati	ion submitted at	the hearing establis	hes by X clear and convincing evidence X a prepon-
dera	ince	of the evidence that	HED ADDEND	UM TO DETENTI	ON ORDER
		SLE ATTAC	TIED ADDEND	OW TO DETENTI	ON ORDER
to the reas Gov	he ex sonat vernr	defendant is committed to the custody of the stent practicable, from persons awaiting or ole opportunity for private consultation with	e Attorney General reserving sentence the defense couns	es or being held in el. On order of a	Detention representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, a custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
		Date			Signature of Judge
				Dennis L.	Howell, United States Magistrate Judge
		-			Name and Title of Judge

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION

1:09 cr 26-2

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Vs.	ADDENDUM TO
	<b>DETENTION ORDER</b>
CTT   DT DC DD TT   DD DD   DT   TD	

CHARLES EDWARD DEAN, JR.

#### I. FACTORS CONSIDERED

### 18 U.S.C. § 3142:

- **(g) Factors to be considered.--**The judicial officer shall, in determining whether there are conditions of release that will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of any other person and the community, take into account the available information concerning--
- (1) The nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;
- (2) the weight of the evidence against the person;
- (3) the history and characteristics of the person, including-
  - (A) the person's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings; and
  - **(B)** whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of sentence for an offense under Federal, State, or local law; and
- (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release.

#### As to factor:

(g)(1): The nature and circumstances of the offense charged involve a controlled substance, that being the sale of crack cocaine.

(g)(2): The weight of the evidence against the person appears to be strong and significant. Testimony was presented by SBI Special Agent Christen Brenzeel. Agent Brenzeel testified that he was working with the DEA as a task force officer in Macon County. He became aware that the defendant had possession of a home which was being used to distribute crack cocaine. The defendant used the home for that purpose for a six to eight month period of time. The defendant made a statement that during a "good month" he would make \$20,000 in profit from the sale of cocaine. The defendant further made a statement that he was a heavy user of drugs and he also provided them to women. Agent Brenzeel further testified that on Tuesday April 14, 2009 the defendant was contacted by law enforcement in Macon County and requested that he turn himself in in regard to the charges contained in the bill of indictment and the defendant refused to do so. The defendant was apprehended in Jackson County, NC at a time when he was attempting to borrow \$125 to obtain a bus ticket. The defendant stated he needed the bus ticket to "get out of town".

## (g)(3): The history and characteristics of the person

(A) Family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history and record concerning appearance at court appearances indicate that the defendant has family ties in Macon County, NC, that being his mother. The defendant has been employed with various construction companies for over 27 years, but he became unemployed in February of 2009. The defendant's history relating to drug or alcohol abuse shows that the defendant reported he used cocaine in the past but had not used this drug for approximately nine months and he began using marijuana at age 11 and presently uses the drug about five times per year. At the recommendation of the United States Probation Office the defendant agreed to take a drug test at the time of the detention hearing. The test showed positive for use of a narcotic. The defendant advised the officers he had taken Valium which had been given to him by his aunt and for which he did not have a prescription. In regard to the defendant's criminal history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, the defendant has the following convictions:

Offense Conviction Date

Driving under the influence, GA

11/14/78

In addition to the above referenced offenses, the defendant has the following additional criminal convictions:

Offense Conviction Date

No operators license

No operators license	06/21/06
Misdemeanor larceny	06/27/07
Driving while license revoked	07/12/07

The defendant's record concerning appearance at court appearances shows that the defendant failed to appear in Macon County District Court on charges of no vehicle registration on November 15, 2004 and he was convicted of failure to appear from a criminal charge against him in April 2006.

(B) At the time of the current offense or arrest, the defendant was on probation, parole or other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal or completion of sentence. It appears that this factor does exist. During the period of time alleged in the bill of indictment the defendant was serving a term of unsupervised probation for misdemeanor larceny and driving while license revoked.

(g)(4): The nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release indicate by clear and convincing evidence that the release of the defendant would create a risk of harm or danger to any other person or the community. The evidence shows the defendant had a dwelling house from which he distributed and sold crack cocaine and had income of "good months" of \$20,000 per month. This evidence shows by clear and convincing evidence that the release of the defendant would create a risk of harm or danger to any other person or the community and further that the presumption has not been rebutted.

The undersigned does find by a preponderance of the evidence that the release of the defendant would create a risk of flight on his part. The charges against the defendant create a presumption that the release of the defendant would create a risk of flight. The evidence shows that the defendant was attempting to flee when he was arrested. As a result of the foregoing, the undersigned has determined to enter an order detaining the defendant pending further proceedings in this matter.

WHEREFORE, it is ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending further proceedings in this matter.

Signed: April 24, 2009

Dennis L. Howell

United States Magistrate Judge